



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

41, J

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,445	12/31/2001	Jacquelyn Martino	US 010685	4835
24737	7590 05/06/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			ROBINSON, GRETA LEE	
P.O. BOX 30 BRIARCLIF	001 F MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2177	1 /
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004	7.
				المراجع والمراجع

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\mathcal{N}
	10/037,445	MARTINO ET AL.	(
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Greta L. Robinson	2177	
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) did. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 31 December 2001.		
	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for		ters, prosecution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are s 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E	ixaminer.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 20	<u>001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) ∑	objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152	<u> .</u>
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6.	D/SB/08) 5) \(\bigcap \text{ Notice of I} \) 6) \(\bigcap \text{ Other: } \(\bigcap \)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2177

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are pending in the present application.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "sort system", "primary sort key", "secondary sort key", "audio/video receiver" and "signal" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 3. The drawings are objected to because descriptive textual labels are needed for the following elements for proper understanding of the invention: element 100, 101, 200, 201, 202, 210, 211, 212, 220, and 221. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "201" has been used to designate both user control in figure 2A for element 200 and figure 2C for element 220. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid

Page 2

Art Unit: 2177

on/oondor Number. 10/00/,+-

abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 5. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "202" has been used to designate both the sorted listing in figure 2C for element 220 and in figure 2A for element 200. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 6. The drawings are objected to because of the partial views in figure 1. Note elements 110, 111, 112 and 113 are not connected to element 101. Applicant should show some type of connection between the views or number them separately. (For, example the separate elements could be labeled as figure 1A and figure 1B) A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

7. Applicant is asked to update the cross-reference to related applications with the appropriate application serial number or US Patent Number on page 1 of the specification.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2177

Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development:</u> See MPEP § 310.
- (d) Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc:
 The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.

Or alternatively, <u>Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix</u>": See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.

- (e) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
 - (1) <u>Field of the Invention</u>: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
 - (2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."

Page 4

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/037,445

Art Unit: 2177

(f) Brief Summary of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.

- (g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.
- (i) Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet or electronic page (37 CFR 1.52(b)(3)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).
- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual

Art Unit: 2177

Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).

(k) <u>Sequence Listing.</u> See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

9. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding claims 1-20 the following limitation does not appear to be described properly in the specification: current user task context and content type, sort keys, primary sort key, and secondary sort keys, audio/video receiver and signal. The description does not appear to define what is meant by the term "current user task context and content type" and how it relates to the function of selecting a sort key. The sort key in the preset invention appears to be different than the standard meaning, it is unclear as to how the sort key is determined and how it is different than the primary sort key and the secondary sort key [note page 15 lines 7-21; page 3 lines 1-13; figure 1 and

Art Unit: 2177

2C]. Also, Applicant does not appear to describe an audio/video receiver as one unit.

Figure 1 depicts separate units, a video receiver 110, and an audio receiver 111; but not an audio/video receiver.

- 10. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 11. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the following limitation is vague: "current user task context and content type" [note claim 1]. As stated in the paragraph above, the meaning of this limitation is not clear. How does this feature relate to the sort process? Claims 6, 11 and 16 contain similar limitation and are rejected for similar reasons. Claims 2-5, 7-10, 12-15 and 17-20 are rejected based on dependency.

Regarding claims 1-20 the following term is vague: "sort keys" [see claim 1 line 6, claim 6 line 7; claim 11 line 6; and claim 16 line 6]. It is unclear as to how the sort key is determined and which element defines the sort key. Also what is the difference between the sort key and a primary sort key and a secondary sort key.

Regarding claims 3, 8, 13 and 17 he following term is vague: primary sort key and secondary sort key [note claims 3, 8, 13 and 18].

Application/Control Number: 10/037,445 Page 8

Art Unit: 2177

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 14. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiyoshi US Patent 6,601,067 B1 in view of Signore et al. *Using Procedural Patterns in Abstracting Relational Schemata*.

Regarding claim 1, Hiyoshi teaches "a sort controller receiving a plurality of information items regarding content" note *sort/merge processor 10* figure 1. Hiyoshi teaches *input files* for receiving a plurality of information, information is read through *file reading unit* 15 figure 1. Hiyoshi teaches "wherein the sort controller sorts the

Art Unit: 2177

information items" note sort/merge execution unit 18 figure 1, also see column 4 lines

Page 9

10-20. Hiyoshi does not specifically teach "using a current user task context and content type", however this feature is taught by Signore et al. Signore et al. teaches reverse engineering based on identification of schema, primary key, SQL and procedural indicators that leads to the assertion of prolog facts [note: page 130 left hand side section 5 detection of the indicators; page 132 note section 5.2 foreign key, 5.3 referential integrity constraints, and Table 3- Matix of Indicators]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have combined Signore et al. with Hiyoshi because Signore et al. would provide a schematic indicator for constraint with respect to sorting in Hiyoshi.

- 15. Regarding claims 2 and 3, "wherein the selected sort keys are derived form the user sorting preferences" and "wherein the selected sort keys include a primary sort key" [note: Signore et al., page 129-130, page 134].
- 16. Regarding claim 4, "wherein a change in the current user task context is inferred from a change of the primary sort key by the user" [see: Signore et al., page 129 note section 4 primary and candidate keys].
- 17. Regarding claim 5, "wherein the plurality of information items are displayed in an order determined by the sort controller" [note: Hiyoshi, column 4 lines 28-32 and lines 53-55].

Art Unit: 2177

17. The limitations of claims 6-10 and 16-20 have been addressed above except for the following: an audio/video receiver and a signal [note: Hiyoshi input device 28 figure 2, column 5 lines 31-34].

18. The limitations of method claims 11-15 parallel system claims 1-5; therefore they are rejected under the same rationale.

Conclusion

19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Li et al. US Patent 5,519,860

Kirchhofer et al. US Patent 5,487,164

Fushimi US Patent 6,182,071 B1

Niblack et al. US Patent 6,182,069 B1

Christy US Patent 6,366,911 B1

Nielsen US Patent 6,373,504 B1

Brown et al. US Patent 6,556,987 B1

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greta L. Robinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-7565. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Breene can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RETA HOBINSON

Greta Robinson Primary Examiner April 30, 2004